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| **Section 1 – Summary and Recommendations** | | |
| This report sets out the final revenue budget for 2021/22 and final Medium-Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) for 2021/22 to 2023/24. In December 2020, Cabinet approved the draft versions of the revenue budget and MTFS for general consultation. Recommendations: Cabinet is requested to:   1. Recommend the 2021/22 budget to Council for approval, being mindful of the results of the various consultations and equality impact assessments, to enable the Council Tax for 2021/22 to be set (Appendix 2). 2. Recommend the Model Council Tax Resolution 2021/22 to Council for approval as set out in Appendix 11. 3. Recommend to Council that, in accordance with Section 38 (2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, the Chief Executive be instructed to place a notice in the local press of the amounts set under recommendation 2 above with a period of 21 days following the Council’s decision. 4. Approve the Medium-Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) for referral to Council (Appendix 2). 5. Note the balanced budget position for 2021/22, and the budget gaps of £24.651m and £5.098m for 2022/23 and 2023/24 respectively (Table 5). 6. Note the intention to increase Council Tax by 1.99% in 2021/22 (Paragraph 1.20). 7. Note the proposal to increase Council Tax by a further 3% in 2021/22 in respect of the Adult Social Care Precept (Paragraph 1.20). 8. Note the 2021/22 budgets for Schools and Public Health as set out in   Appendices 6 & 7.    9) Note the assumed funding for the protection of social care 2021/22  through the BCF as set out in paragraphs 1.74 to 1.78.   1. Note the requirement to develop a fully costed budget and implementation plan, to the value of a minimum £10m, to bring to Cabinet on preparation to feed into the budget setting round for 2022/23 (Paragraph 1.83).   11) Recommend the 2021/22 Members Allowance Scheme to Council for  approval (Appendix 12).    12) Recommend the 2021/22 Annual Pay Policy Statement to Council for  approval (Appendix 13).  13) Recommend the Capital Receipts Flexibility Strategy to Council  (Appendix 14).   Reason: (For recommendations) To ensure that the Council sets a balanced budget for 2021/22. | | |

# Section 2 – Report

## BACKGROUND

1.1 Prior to the Covid-19 pandemic, Local Government finances continued to have a very difficult decade. Revenue expenditure has reduced by 20 to 30% on average against a backdrop of a rising UK population and a continued increase in demand for social care across all age groups and for pupils with special educational needs. In their publication ‘Local Government Funding – Moving the conversation’ (June 2018) the Local Government Association shared a number of their key statistics including:

* Analysis indicated that local services face a funding gap of £7.8billion by 2025 of which £6.6 billion would relate to Adults social care and Children’s services.
* By 2020, local authorities will have faced a reduction to core funding from central Government of nearly £16 billion over the preceding decade.

1.2 With the Local Government sector being at the forefront of the response to both the public health and economic crises caused by Covid 19, it is hardly surprising that the pandemic has had a significant impact on local government finances which were already in a difficult position following a decade where resources have been reduced by over a quarter. Early in the pandemic London Councils published their report ‘Impact of Covid-19 on Local Government Finance.’ The report published the findings of the surveys issued by the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG) to track the financial impact of the pandemic over the first three months of the year. The estimated financial impact across London Borough’s was £1.96b against Emergency Funding allocated at the time of £500m. Whilst is it appreciated that further financial support has been provided which is gratefully received, so too have increased, and continue to emerge, the associated costs of the pandemic on the sector and the wider local government economy including the impact on local business, jobs and employment, housing and the high street.

1.3 Harrow remains one of the lowest funded Councils both within London and nationally. Table 1 below summarises the key financial changes over the 9-year period up to 2021/22:

**Table 1: Summary of Key Financial Changes 2013/14 to 2021/22**



1.4 Over the 9-year period, table shows:

* The Council’s Revenue Support Grant (RSG), its main source of funding from central government, has reduced by 97% to just £1.6m, a reduction of £50.5m. To set this in context, the Council’s net revenue budget requirement to support service delivery is £179m.
* Over and above the RSG, the Council receives no additional funding to meet demographic and inflationary pressures. Therefore, growth of £77.4m has had to be provided to fund the continued pressures on front line services, including adults and children’s social care, homelessness and waste services.   Technical growth of £19.4m has had to be provided to fund inflationary pressures (pay and non-pay) and the cost of capital investment for which the council receives no additional funding.
* These three factors have taken the total budget shortfall to find over the nine years to £147.3m to achieve a balanced budget.
* Savings and efficiencies of £99.4m have been achieved but the profiling of these savings, and how the quantum has reduced in recent years, demonstrates the challenges of finding sustainable savings year on year. For the first time in 2020/21 the Council had to rely upon the use of reserves to balance its budget. Thankfully, through tight financial management, these reserves are now unlikely to be drawn down and can be retained to support the even larger pressures the Council faces in future years.
* Council Tax has been increased largely in line with referendum limits and full use has been made of the Adults Social Care precept. Again for 2021/22, the Council has little choice but to propose the maximum allowable increase in Council Tax of 4.99% which increases the transfer of responsibility onto the council taxpayer to 78%.

1.5 Despite all reasonable actions, including council tax increases, significant savings, and efficiencies and generating income, it still remains a considerable challenge for the Council to balance its annual budget in light of continued demand pressures and funding certainties compounded by a historically low funding base. In February 2020 full Council approved the Medium-Term Financial Strategy (MTFS) 2020/21 to 2022/23. Despite achieving a balanced budget for 2020/21, there remained a budget gap of £22.592m over the final two years of the MTFS.

1.6 A budget gap of £22.592m is enough of a significant financial challenge from which to refresh the MTFS. Factor into this a disproportionate impact of Covid- 19 on the Borough and it leaves the Council in a grave financial position facing very difficult budget decisions. Between the period March 2020 and February 2021, a total of 18,594 lab-confirmed cases were recorded for the London Borough of Harrow. Harrow had an overall case rate of 7,365 per 100,000 population, higher than the London rate of 7,317 cases per 100,000. Since the beginning of the pandemic in 2020, there have been 522 deaths registered to Harrow residents, which mentioned Covid-19 on the death certificate. The cumulative rate of death in Harrow was 207.8 per 100,000, which is significantly higher than London (162 per 100,000) and England (171 per 100,000).

1.7 Covid-19 has been shown to disproportionately affect older people and people from BME communities. Both these groups are more likely to become ill from Covid-19, require admission to hospital and subsequent support, and both these groups are more highly represented in Harrow than many other London Boroughs. The high number of 57 residential and care homes in Harrow, and outbreaks within them have certainly contributed to the high number of cases of Covid-19 in Harrow.

1.8 The disproportionate impact felt by Harrow has not been matched by a proportionate share of funding. Analysis shows that, over the four tranches of Emergency Funding received, Harrow ranked in 108th position nationally (out of 339) and across London in 26th position (out of 33, which is 8th lowest).

**SUMMARY**

1.9 The final budget set out in this report shows an updated MTFS with several changes which Cabinet are asked to note. The changes achieve a balanced budget position for 2021/22 and budgets gaps of £24.651m and £5.098m for 2022/23 and 2023/24 respectively. The final MTFS is based on the Indicative Local Government Settlement received on 17 December 2020. MP’s will vote on the final Local Government finance settlement for 2021/22 on 10 February and the final settlement is likely to be published in the days beforehand. Section 30 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 requires local authorities to set their council tax no later than 11 March 2021 therefore the final budget and MTFS will proceed to Cabinet and Council in February 2021. Whilst it is intended that Members will approve the MTFS in February 2021, it could still be subject to assumptions in relation to grant settlements, council tax income, legislation and demographics. The Council does hold a contingency for unforeseen items (£1.248m), including adverse equality impacts, which is intended to support uncertainties and the Council will still be required to review the Council’s budget on a yearly basis.

**EXTERNAL FUNDING POSITION**

1.10 Harrow Council remains one of the lowest funded councils both in London and nationally. Harrow’s core spending power per head in 2019/20 was estimated to be £170 lower than the London average and £75 lower than the rest of England average. The announcements within the SR 20 have done little to address the relative position of Harrow’s funding baseline.

1.11 The SR 20 confirmed broad public spending allocations for 2021/22. Until the summer the indication from government was still an intention to set a three-year revenue settlement after representations from the sector of the challenges managing temporary funding over the medium and longer term, for budget planning and sustainability purposes. After the cancellation of the Autumn Budget, confirmation was finally received that SR 20 would be a one-year settlement only. The key areas of the review are detailed below and included in the MTFS:

● The main Council Tax referendum limit is set 2% and the Adult

Social Care Precept at 3%.

● £300m of extra grant funding for Social care. Harrow’s share is

confirmed at £326k and is assumed to be recurrent for

budgeting purposes.

● £670m of additional grant funding to help local authorities support

more than 4m households least able to afford Council Tax

payments. Harrow’s indicative allocation is £2.325m and the funding

is assumed to be non-recurrent for budgeting purposes.

● A mandatory requirement to spread the impact of Collection Fund

loses for 2020/21 over the following three years. Harrow’s collection

rates have remained at good levels and this mandatory requirement

has a minimal impact on the MTFS.

● An extra £1.55n of grant funding to meet additional Covid-19

expenditure pressures. Harrow’s allocation is £6.051m

which is reflected in the draft budget on a one-off basis.

* The Income Compensation Scheme for Sales, Fees and Charges will be extended for three months until June 2021. The estimated compensation for Harrow is £500k which is reflected in the final budget on a one-off basis.
* The New Homes Bonus grant will continue for a further year into 2021/22 with reform of the scheme planned for implementation in the following year.

● Grant funding for Public Health, the Troubled Families Programme

and the Improved Better Care Fund will continue on a cash flat

basis.

● The Business Rates multiplier will be frozen in 2021/22 which will

reduce business rate bills.

● There is a delay to the planned move to 75% Business Rates

Retention and the implementation of the Fair Funding Review and

Government will not proceed with the reset of the business rate

baselines in 2021/22. These are all likely to be considered for

SR21.

**DELIVERY OF THE 2020/21 BUDGET**

1.12 In these unprecedented times, delivery of the 2020/21 budget is critical to maintaining the Council’s financial standing and to do everything possible to protect front line services and to manage the future impact on the MTFS. Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, the monitoring of financial performance is being separated between business as usual and Covid-19. This is to ensure the impact of the pandemic is fully understood on the current and future years and business as usual budget is robustly managed to ensure no unfunded pressures.

1.13 Harrow has a good track record of robust financial management and has not reported a revenue budget overspend for many years. The performance against the 2020/21 budget is detailed in a separate report on this agenda, ‘P3 2020/21 Revenue & Capital Monitoring 2020/21 – as at Qtr 3 (31/12/20).’ This report forecasts a net underspend of £1.864m made up of a forecast underspend against the business as usual budget of £1.985m off set against unfunded Covid-19 pressures of £121k. It should be noted that the Qtr 3 report was prepared before it was announced that the country would enter a third lockdown late December. The impact of this and current tier restrictions are being assessed, alongside additional central government funding announcements, and will be reflected in the final outturn report scheduled for Cabinet in June.

1.14 It is well reported that the Council does not have large reserve balances when benchmarked with other local authorities and during this decade of austerity has steered away from applying one off reserve to balance the budget. However, due to the continued challenging fiscal environment and additional unfunded adult social care pressures, the 2020/21 budget included £3.805m to be drawn down from the Budget Planning and Business Risk reserves on a one-off basis. The Quarter 3 forecast, through robust management and grip on the in-year budget, estimates no drawdown of the £3.805m from reserves. This means these reserves can remain on the balance sheet to support the considerable strain on the MTFS in future years.

**BUDGET PROCESS 2021/22**

1.15The Council has a statutory obligation to agree and publish the budget for 2021/22, and approval for this is being sought in February 2021. In preparing the 2021/22 budget and rolling forward the MTFS to cover the three-year period 2021/22 to 2023/24, the current MTFS (approved by Council in 2020) has been the starting point for the process.

1.16 The MTFS approved in February 2020 assumed a budget gap of £11.414m for 2021/22 and £11.178m for 2022/23. This was the starting point for the refreshed 3-year MTFS. It’s important to note that this starting point assumed achieving existing directorate savings of £2.947m in 2021/22.

1.17 The Council’s financial position has always been dynamic affected by several financial uncertainties and adjustments that impact upon its financial position over the short and medium term. The impact of Covid-19 upon both the Council’s financial position and its internal capacity has made the sustainability of Council finances a key strategic issue. In preparing the draft budget for 2021/22 the existing MTFS has been:

* Refreshed and rolled on a year
* Updated to reflect the estimated impact of Covid -19 (both expenditure and income) beyond the current year
* Updated to reflect the estimated impact of SR 20 where the financial implications can be assessed for the Council with a reasonable degree of certainty, accepting that there is an element of risk until the final Financial Settlement is announced early February.

1.18 The draft budget was presented to Cabinet in December and the adjustments required to set the draft budget are summarised in Table 2 below followed by a narrative explanation. These adjustments are also set out in Appendix 2 along with adjustments included within the previous MTFS agreed as part of the 2020/21 Budget process:



**Council Tax Adjustments**

1.19 In 2021/22 the Council tax base will reduce to 87,387 from its 2020/21 base

of 87,667. This is a reduction of 280 Band D equivalent properties which

equates to a total loss of Council Tax income of £426k. Whilst the base is

estimated to increase by 1,141 new properties this is offset by a loss of 1,421

properties due to growth in Council Tax Support as a result of the weakening

economy largely due to the pandemic. Had it not been for the impact of the

pandemic the increase in the tax base would have generated in the region of

£1.78m additional council tax income. The current MTFS already assumed

an increase in the Council Tax base of 313 band D equivalent properties

generating £750k. This has been removed from the draft budget as this

increase is not achievable considering the pandemic. The collection rate will

remain at 98% for 2021/22. Collection rates have performed better than

expected throughout the pandemic and Harrow has provision for outstanding

arrears up to the 31/03/20 of almost 100%.

1,20 A maximum Council Tax increase of 4.99% is budgeted for 2021/22 generating Council tax income of £6.213m. There has been an indication that the precept can be applied over 2 years. However, as the 2021/22 settlement is for one year only and there is no information on precepts for 2022/23 alongside significant pressures on the MTFS driven by adult social care growth, the full 3% precept is included in the 2021/22 draft budget. An increase in core Council Tax of 1.99% is included in the draft MTFS for 2022/23.

**Technical Adjustments**

1.21 **New Homes Bonus Grant (NHB)**. In 2020/21 the NHB is £3.716m. As part of the 2020/21 budget setting process, reductions in the NHB were built into the budget on the assumption that the grant would be reduced, and no new payments would be made for 2021/22. SR 20 announced that NHB funding would continue for a further year but without legacy payments. At this stage is it still unclear what this means and what the grant allocation will be for 2021/22, but the previous reductions of £782k in 2021/22 and £728k in 2022/23 have been reversed for the draft MTFS.

1.22 **Freedom Passes.** The Freedom Pass Scheme (FPS) provides free travel for older and disabled London residents on all Transport for London (TFL) travel modes and on most National Rails routes (with restrictions). The methodology used for settlement of the FPS with TFL uses journey data for the previous 2 years. Covid-19 has significantly reduced the use of public transport, including among concessionary fare passengers and London Councils have provided 3-year cost estimates for each London Borough. Harrow’s concessionary fares budget is £9.883m. Savings of £1.108m and £1.580m are estimated for 2021/22 and 2022/23 with journey numbers estimated to pick up in 2023/24 requiring the baseline budget to be increased by £1.377m. These adjustments are reflected in the MTFS.

1.23 **Allocation of Capital Flexibilities.** The current capital flexibility arrangements are in place until 2021/22 and any announcements around their extension are anticipated in the Indicative Financial Settlement. £2m of capital flexibilities will be applied in 2021/22 to fund the qualifying revenue costs of reform projects which must be reversed out of the budget in the following year.

1.24 **Pay and Non-Pay Inflation**. The current MTFS assumes £3.1m in 2021/22 to fund pay and non-pay inflation and £4.750m in 2022/23 to fund all inflationary costs and budget pressures. A provision of £4.750m is now included in the MTFS for 2023/24. The SR 20 announced a public sector pay freeze. As local government pay is subject to separate negotiations, any savings as a result of an agreement to freeze pay for local government has not been assumed in the MTFS. If a pay freeze is subsequently negotiation, the budget saving will be transferred to reserves to support the MTFS.

1.25 **Additional Capital Financing required for the new 3 Year Capital Programme.** The draft 3 Year Capital Programme is subject to a separate report in the agenda. The draft document requires additional capital financing costs of £470k in 2023/24. The government has published its response to the Treasury’s consultation on Public Works Loans Board (PWLB) lending terms and will end use of the PWLB for investment property bought primarily for yield. As previously explained the Council has already decided not to pursue its programme of commercial investment and the financial implications have been removed from both the budget and capital programme. The government also announced it will cut PWLB lending rates to gilts + 100 bps for Standard Rate and gilts + 80 bps for Certainty Rate. The impact of this reduction is being worked through in terms of the impact on the capital financing budget and any reductions will be built into the final budget.

1.26 **Realignment of the 20/21 Minimum Revenue Provision (MRP) Budget to account for slippage**. Due to the slippage of the in-year Capital Programme, the timing of required MRP’s has been reviewed resulting in a realignment of provisions required. This generates a one-off budget benefit of £2.981m in 2021/22.

1.27 **Reversal of Commercial Investment Income**. £100m was approved by Cabinet in July 2019 as an addition to the Capital Programme for Commercial Investments. The basis of the inclusion was that this £100m would generate a minimum net return of £2.5m after repaying the capital financing costs. One property has been bought from the £100m which is generating a net return of £150k which was built into the 2020/21 budget. The net return from the balance of £94m was reflected in the MTFS equally over 2021/22 and 2022/23. The decision has been made to not continue with the strategy of commercial investment due to the challenges of securing properties which meet the investment criteria and the decision of the government to stop the use of Public Loans Works Board for investment in property bought primarily for yield. The remaining net return within the MTFS of £2.350m has now been removed.

1.28 **Adult Social Care Growth**

At the point of setting the 2020/21 budget in February 2020, the final budget report identified adults social care growth pressures of £6.439m and £7.063m for 2021/22 and 2022/23 respectively. The report also explained that because future funding to support the growth pressures was very uncertain and could not be built into the MTFS with any degree of certainty, it was reasonable that the estimated pressures were identified but also not included in the MTFS. The work within the Adults Service to further understand growth projections has continued throughout the year and now must be reflected in the MTFS alongside SR 20 funding announcements on social care grant and precept.

1.29 The Institute for Fiscal Studies has found that Councils need an estimated additional £1.6bn by 2024/25 to fully meet the cost of adult social care. Even before Covid-19, [London’s adult social care sector faced a funding shortfall of £130 million this year](https://www.londoncouncils.gov.uk/press-release/23-november-2020/boroughs-call-social-care-funding-boost-match-nhs-investment). While SR 20 has provided a short-term boost (an additional £300m nationally which translates to an additional estimated £1.044m for Harrow in 2021/22), boroughs will continue to call for a long-term, sustainable solution to the challenge of funding adult social care services.

1.30 As part of the modelling work to understand the future demands on Adults

Social care, evidence-based analysis does show that costs pressures are

volatile, as detailed below. The forecast for 2021/22 and 2022/23 assumes

the growth detailed in the table below:

1.31 Against a backdrop of a rising UK population, increasing social care demands for older people and young adults with learning difficulties and the NHS under pressure, the modelling continues to estimate future cost pressures. The draft MTFS includes estimated adult social care growth pressures as detailed in table 3 below:

**Table 3:Estimated Adult Social Care Growth 2021 to 2024**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Growth | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 |
|  | £’000 | £’000 | £’000 |
| Complexity | 1,305 | 1,644 | 0 |
| Demand | 2,491 | 912 | 0 |
| Commissioning activity | (300) | 0 | 0 |
| Care provider inflation | 1,046 | 1,135 | 0 |
| Mitigations not achieved | 1,034 | 0 | 0 |
| ASC reserve (one-off) | 663 | 0 | 0 |
| **MTFS Impact** | **6,239** | **3,691** | **0** |

The following narrative supports the numbers in the table above:

1.32 **Complexity** includes the increased cost of existing packages or care as clients remain within the system. A 5% year on year increase in the current average weekly cost of £334 per week has been assumed.

1.33 **Demand** assumes accepting liability for 30 Covid-19 nursing placements from health following care act assessment from April 2021, together with net new demand during each financial year (approx. 4,420 weeks of care 21/22 and 3,490 22/23 assuming an average community package of £261 per week).

1.34 **Commissioning activity** is to support the review of elements of care aiming to increase quality and keep pace with the external market.

1.35 **Care provider inflation** is assumed at 1.5% of the Adults budget. The National Living Wage will increase in April 2021 by 2.2% from £8.72 to £8.91. As a result, containing increases in the cost of care will need to be targeted to ensure that increases are agreed within the available financial envelope.

1.36 **Mitigations did not achieve** the 2020/21 Adults budget assumed mitigations of £1.584m to achieve a balanced position within the approved growth allocation. At the time of setting the budget £0.9m of mitigations had been identified. The impact of Covid-19 has reduced the achievement of the savings identified (a lower level of learning disability commissioning savings and the delayed implementation of the changes in the Adults charging policy) to £550k and it has not been possible at this stage to identify further reductions to mitigate the original unidentified savings.

1.37The estimated growth projections, and the assumptions upon which they were based, are dynamic and continue to be worked upon and refined, especially in light of the service approaching one of its most challenging times of the year, winter pressures coupled with the second wave of Covid-19.

1.38 The underlying budget, assumes the following for 2021/22:

● 29,172 weeks of bedded care (residential and nursing), the

equivalent of 561 citizens for a full year, with an average gross cost

of £980 per week.

● 7,072 weeks of care in supported accommodation, the equivalent of

136 citizens for a full year, with an average gross cost of £1,097 per

week.

● 100,152 weeks of care for community services (domiciliary care,

cash personal budgets and external day care), the equivalent of

1,926 citizens for a full year, with an average gross cost of £261

per week.

1.39 Without a national solution for the longer term sustainable financing of Adult Social Care, and given the challenging financial picture of the wider Council position, the directorate will need to continue to work to reduce the costs of service provision to mitigate future growth requirements, including in 2023/24 where there is no specific growth included in the MTFS. The key areas for review are detailed below:

● Continue the work with learning disabilities working with individuals

to promote independence and reduce the costs of packages (Home

is Harrow).

● Continue to roll out the strengths-based working across adults (3

conversations) (this is challenging in a Covid environment given the

inability of social workers to make home / face to face contact

visits).

● Market shaping to ensure the most efficient use of available

resources, and potentially targeting a cohort of high cost packages.

● Subject to the evaluation of the Assisted Technology (AT) pilot,

implementation of the AT equipment to reduce care packages.

● Focus on the hospital discharge to avoid an over reliance on

admission to care homes.

1.40 **Adults growth - transfer of capital Adaptations and careline equipment budgets to Revenue**. There has been a capital budget of £230k allocated annually for equipment needed to adapt homes for adults requiring help to remain at home and a separate allocation of £235k to fund careline equipment. This was previously funded as part of the allocation of grant funding for Disabled Facility Grants (DFG). As the requirement for DFG funded adaptations has increased, it has been decided to allocate the full grant to those more substantial adaptations made in homes and move the smaller equipment budgets to revenue. There is a one-off impact of growth this year or £465k in moving these budgets to revenue, but there will be a longer-term benefit of no requirement to borrow in order to fund these smaller equipment-based adaptations.

1.41 **Children’s Placements & Accommodation**

There are significant pressures on Children’s Placements & Accommodation in 2020/21 which are estimated to continue into future financial years. There has been a net increase in the number of Looked After Children rising from 169 in March 2020 to 206 in October 2020. There are a number of factors contributing to this including, but not limited to, Covid-19 which has led to delays in court proceedings, reductions in face to face family work to prevent or delay young people coming into care where it is safe to do so and increased statutory intervention due to family mental health leading to more children coming into care. In addition, children are presenting with more complex and challenging needs leading to higher cost residential placements. There is also a net increase in the number of children subject to Child Protection plans rising from 279 in March 2020 to 340 in October 2020. This has meant that the savings of £820k over the period 2020/2022 have not been achieved and further growth is required to fund the underlying demand over the next two years as one off funding currently being used to mitigate some of these pressures in 2020/21 is exhausted. Growth of £1.227m and £1,205m is provided in the draft budget for 2021/22 and 2022/23 respectively.

1.42 **SEN Transport**

There is estimated to be significant pressures on SEN Transport in 2020/21 brought about by a net increase in the number of children requiring home to school transport and complexity of children’s needs. In addition to this the re-procurement of the inhouse vehicle contract has led to increased prices and a different mix of vehicle types as well as the  current managed service contract which provides drivers and escorts is also being re-procured and is anticipated to lead to higher costs. A system-wide review of special needs transport began in 2019 which started with a review of back office functions and the flow of information between families and council departments. The second phase would review the mix of provision between inhouse vehicles and commissioned taxis to demonstrate cost effectiveness. It was anticipated that these reviews would lead to savings. However due to Covid the second part of this review had to be postponed and is unlikely to begin again until 2021. Therefore, achievement of any possible savings will be delayed and until actions and savings can be quantified with sufficient robustness, growth of £777k is included in the draft budget for 2021/22 as a result of the inherent pressure carried forward from 2020/21. This growth will remain under review as the second phase of the review progresses, with a view to removing the growth as efficiencies are identified. In the meantime, it is anticipated that approximately 30 additional children per year will require home to school transport.

1.43 **Community – growth required across the Directorate**

A total growth of £2.920m is required in 2021/22 to mitigate against the baseline budget pressures (£1.855m) and unachieved MTFS targets (£1.065m) across Community Directorate as set out below:

1.44 **Waste Services (£1.475m)**

● There is a significant pressure on waste disposal budgets.

Residual waste tonnage has increased significantly since the start

of the health pandemic due to working from home arrangements

thereby more waste is produced by households. Based on the

forecast waste tonnage for 2021/22, the budget pressure on West

London Waste Levy (WLWL) is estimated at £0.75m in 2021/22,

increasing by £300k each year in 2022/23 and 2023/24 to account

for household growth and price inflations. The re-procurement of

dry mixed recycling (DMR) waste disposal contract has been

completed. Due to the continuous unfavourable market prices for

mixed dry recyclables, the level of revenue rebate achieved from

the sales of these has been low and is expected to remain so. The

processing cost of the DMR waste has also increased in the new

contract. The combined effect of these is that the cost of DMR

waste disposal is estimated to be £0.6m more than budget.

● Following a review of the capital programme, the funding source

for the purchase of domestic bins is switched from capital to

revenue and therefore a revenue budget of £125k is required from

2021/22 in Waste Management.

1.45 **Facilities Management (£380k)**

● Building maintenance and responsive repairs for all corporate sites

is forecast to overspend by £300k in 2020/21 against the base

budget of £0.77m. A budget review in this area was undertaken

and identified that the cost of Planned Preventative Maintenance

(PPM) works across the corporate property portfolio was in excess

of £420k. This does not include the cost of any remedial works

following PPM or any cost of responsive repairs. Due to the age

and the lack of investment of some of the buildings, the current

budget is not sufficient to cover all building repairs and therefore a

growth of £300k in included in 2021/22 budget.

● There is a reduction in the uptake of SLA services by schools, in

the area of Facilities Management. This has created an income

pressure of £80k for the service.

* 1. **Unachieved MTFS (£1.065m)**

● A MTFS target of £1.234m was originally set for the depot

redevelopment project to reflect the financing cost of the capital

borrowing. The target profiled to 2019/20 and 2020/21 were £246k

and £681k respectively. Some of the overall target has been

achieved from additional rent income across the corporate property

portfolio, leaving a net target of £0.861m which remains

unachievable in 2020/21 and will not be achieved from the new

depot following a review of commercial opportunities earlier of the

year.

● The existing MTFS has set a net target of £172k to be achieved from

Vernon Lodge site through a combination of B&B saving and

additional rent income in 2021/22. This is no longer achievable as

the original Vernon Lodge redevelopment project did not go-ahead

following planning issues and environmental health concerns.

● A procurement saving target of £200k was included in the MTFS in

2019/20. Despite some savings have been achieved from various

Contract re-procurements, a net target of £32k remains

unachievable.

* 1. **Community – Covid-19 loss of income @25% of 20/21 income budget**

**and phased back in.**

Community Directorate provides a wide range of discretionary chargeable services. The COVID-19 health crisis and the social distancing restrictions imposed by Government have had a significant financial impact on Community Directorate as this adversely affects its ability to generate income which the directorate relies heavily on to deliver its budgets. A slow economic recovery is anticipated after lockdown measures are eased. This is likely to result in an adverse impact on 2021/22 income. A regular review of the income trend throughout 2020/21 forms the basis of estimating the likely budget impact over the MTFS period. Key areas of pressures are identified in Parking Services and Cultural Services and Leisure, with estimated income losses of £2.4m and £1.6m respectively in 2021/22. In addition, a pressure of £1m is identified from other transactional activities across various service areas. Therefore, the total income losses are estimated at £5m in 2021/22.

At this stage it is assumed that the income will gradually get back to the pre-Covid level over the MTFS period. The £5m growth will therefore be reversed in 2022/23 and 2023/24 respectively, except for some income which is expected to be lost permanently due to the redevelopment of the Civic Centre site. This includes income from the car park, staff restaurant, filming, cookery school and training academy. In addition, the current leisure contract will expire in 2023. The impact of the health pandemic in the leisure sector is likely to result in some longer term loss of income regardless of the future service delivery model, and therefore the MTFS assumes 50% reversal of the growth in this area, subject to further review in the next round of the MTFS.

1.48 **Spending Review - Estimated additional Covid-19 Funding.** The SR 20 announcements on additional Emergency Funding and Income Compensation for 2021/22 have been estimated at £4.6m and £500k respectively for Harrow and included in the draft budget for 2021/22 only.

1.49 **Application of non-General Fund Reserves**

After all known adjustments, the draft MTFS shows a revised budget gap of £30.852m of which £8.251m relates to 2021/22. In October 2020 a report was brought to Cabinet with an early indication of a draft MTFS for 2021/22 to 2023/24 which also showed a significant budget gap. This report explained the choices the Council was faced with in light of the indicative budget gap:

1. The Council could embark on a drastic programme of cuts to address the budget gap. At the time of it was considered unwise to consider any programme to reduce Council until there is clarity on the Councils funding settlement.
2. The Council has limited reserves that can be applied to the budget gap, mindful that the reserves are one off in nature and will only provide a temporary solution.

1.50 At the time of writing this draft budget report, it is accepted that the

Council is still wating for the Indicative Financial Settlement due to be

received mid to late December. However, all efforts have been made to

include all quantifiable SR 20 announcements within the MTFS and it is

considered unlikely the indicative settlement will materially change the

estimated budget gap. It is also accepted that the draft MTFS for future

years is an estimate. The estimate includes known growth and demand

pressures but includes no potential funding implications from SR 21,

additional support for social care (either grant or precept), support for

the impacts of Covid-19. Therefore, it’s not unrealistic that the indicative

future budget gaps have the potential to reduce but the budget shortfall

for 2022/23 is estimated £25.754m which exceeds the balance of the

Councils remaining non-earmarked and General Fund reserves

(£15.2m). Whilst the Council will continue its lobbying for a fairer

funding settlement which meets the needs of the borough, the Council

must have a strategy in place to tackle its financial challenges and for

this reason the Council must develop a fully costed budget and

implementation plan, ready to bring to Cabinet in the summer / autumn

ready to feed into the budget setting round for 2022/23. Further detail

will be presented on this in the final budget report to Council in February.

1.51 For the purposes of the 2021/22 budget, at draft budget stage the

estimated budget gap of £8.251m will be funded through a mixture of

reserves and a further tightening of expenditure in the current financial

year to create a £2m reserve to support 2021/22. The reserves being

applied are those reserves which were planned to be drawn down in

2020/21 but robust management of the in-year budget no longer

requires this:

Budget Planning Reserve £2.628m

Budget Risk Reserve £1.771m

Adults Social Care Reserve £0.920m

Children’s Social Care Reserve £0.932m

2020/21 Savings Target £2m

**Total £8.251m**

If the indicative settlement does deliver any additional financial benefit to

budget, the draw down in reserves will be reduced.

**Adjustments required following the Indicative Local Government**

**Settlement announcement.**

1.52 The indicative settlement was announced on 17 December, the final

settlement being published early February before MP’s vote on 10

February. The announcements, along with other changes, have resulted

in several adjustments to the draft MTFS which are summarised in table

3 and supported by explanatory text which follows the table:

**Table 3: Adjustments to the MTFS 2021/22 to 2023/24 (After the Indicative Local Government Settlement of 17/12/20)**



1.53 **Collection Fund and Business Rates Retention**- due to sustained

collection rates in 2020/21, a small surplus on the Collection Fund can

be brought into the final budget for 2021/22 only. The value of retained

business rates will increase by £404k but will be matched by an equal

reduction in Section 31 grant hence a neutral impact on the MTFS.

1.54 **Investment in Equalities, Diversity and Inclusion** - Harrow prides

itself in being one of the most ethnically and religiously diverse

Boroughs in the country with people of many different backgrounds and

life experiences living side by side.  However, the Council recognises

that more can be done to enhance the lived experience of all staff,

service users and communities and to provide better outcomes for them.

It is evident that a robust strategic approach is required to tackle

inequality, one that although covers all protected characteristics, has a

principle focus on race and ethnicity in the first instance. The council has

developed a three-strand approach to address Equalities, Diversity and

Inclusion (EDI). This cover; addressing inequality in life outcomes;

reviewing services delivered, and the Council’s role as an employer. To

deliver this approach successfully, further resources are required and

£100k of investment is being provided for to create a specialist EDI

function that will develop a new strategic approach towards equalities,

diversity and inclusion. Additionally, a further £250k is being set aside as

an ear marked reserve for supplementary activity to take forward this

agenda*.*

1.55 **Adjustments to Adults growth investment** / **Additional grant for**

**social care**– At the draft budget stage, the growth requirement for adult

social care in 2020/21 was £6.239m and this was to be funded by

growth already in the MTFS (£2.996m), additional direct growth into the

Adults Division of £1.776m (capped at the quantum raised by the 3%

precept and additional direct grant) with the balance to reach the

requirement (£1.467m) being provided within the budget but retained

corporately. In the final budget the growth requirement of £6.239m

remains the same and additional growth into the Adults Division will

remain capped at the quantum raised by the precept and additional

direct grant. The key difference is that the balance to reach the growth

requirement will be set aside as an ear marked reserve rather than

being provided for as permanent growth. This is to reflect the

challenges of reaching a balanced budget position without an over

reliance on one off reserve and for further work to be undertaken on the

growth requirement considering any changes brought about because of

the pandemic. Therefore, in the final budget the £6.239m growth

requirement is funded as follows:

Funding already in the MTFS £2.996m

Additional direct growth £1.322m (1)

Ear marked reserve £1.921m

£6.239m

1. The additional direct growth has reduced from £1.776m to £1.322m as a result of a precept increase of £264k and the additional social care direct grant reducing from £1.044m at draft budget to £326k.

Along with all services, the adult’s budget will be robustly monitored in 2021/22, including the growth requirement, to ensure the adjusted funding methodology is sufficient for 2021/22 and future years.

1.56 **Additional Covid 19 expenditure grant / Multiplier grant / lower tier**

**grant and New Homes Bonus –** The lower tier grant was newly

announced at settlement with the other three grants all increasing in

value in the financial settlement. The challenge is, except for the New

Homes Bonus, the grant income is non recurrent in nature and must be

reversed out of the MTFS in 2022/23.

1.57 **Additional Council Tax Support Grant**- This is a new grant to help

local authorities support households least able to afford Council Tax.

The Council has been given an indicative allocation of £2.325m with the

final value subject to confirmation. The draft budget explained that

£1.78m has been reduced from the council tax base (loss of 1,421

properties) due to the growth in Council Tax Support as a result of the

weakening economy and the pandemic. Therefore £1.78m of the grant

is being applied to the 2021/22 budget to compensate for the CTS

related loss in the base. £370k will be set aside to award everyone

receiving CTS as at 1/4/2021 a one off council tax hardship award which

will negate the inflationary increase of 4.99%. It will not however cover

the GLA element within any overall yearly increases. Based on the

average band D band, CTS recipients will therefore be awarded

between £35 and £60 (depending on their Council Tax band and the

percentage of CTS entitlement). Additionally, an extra £50k has been

set aside to also ensure the same inflationary award is granted to any

further new claimants of CTS throughout 2021/22 as and when they are

assessed as eligible. The balance of £125k will be set aside for further

hardship support during the year.

1.58 **Planned £2m underspend in 2020/21 to support 2021/22** – The report

‘P3 2020/21 Revenue and Capital Monitoring 2020/21’, elsewhere on

this agenda, shows a forecast underspend against the business as

usual budget of £1.985m which supports the target £2m being achieved.

1.59 **Use of none ear marked reserves**- The draft budget assumed using

£6.251m of reserves to achieve a balanced budget, at final budget this

has reduced significantly to £852k releasing £5.399m of reserve to

support the MTFS.

1.60 The draft budget included a reduction in the cost of **Freedom Passes** of

£1.108m, the reduction has been confirmed at £1.292m, an

improvement of £184k. The final budget has not been adjusted on

account of several other levies payable by the Council have yet to be

confirmed for 2021/22 and the benefit of £184k will be held to offset any

adverse variances in the outstanding levies.

**Budget Refresh, Growth & Savings**

1.61 There is a commitment to refresh the three-year MTFS annually to

ensure it remains reflective of the changing Harrow and Local

Government landscape. All savings in the current MTFS for 2021/22

and 2022/23 have been reviewed to ensure that they can either be taken

forward or removed as part of this draft budget.

1.62 Table 4 summarises the total savings and growth put forward as part of

the budget process last year in respect of financial years 2021/22 and

2022/23. Table 4 shows total savings of £8.410m between 2021/22 and

2022/23 and growth of £2.067m, so net savings of £6.343m across 2 years. The net saving for 2021/22 is £4.799m. The detail is set out in Appendix 1B.

**Table 4: Savings and Growth from the 2020/21 Budget Process**



1.63 Table 5 sets out the total changes in terms of Growth/reversed savings and growth and savings proposed as part of the 2021/22 budget process. Table 5 shows a net growth as a result of reversed savings/growth and new growth of £13.327m over the three-year period 2021/22 to 2023/24. The impact in 2021/22 is net growth of £13.494m. The detail is set out at Appendix 1A.

The net impact on the 2021/22 budget from the £4.799m net saving in Table 4 and the £13.494m of net growth in Table 5, is net growth on the budget of £8.695m.



**INVESTMENT**

1.64 In the 2020/21, £965k was invested into front line services, funded by an earmarked amount in the Business Risk Reserve. A balance of £1m is within the reserve to cover investments in 2021/22 and 2022/23 and those being funded in 2021/22 are detailed:

investments will continue into 2021/22:

* Street Sweeping (2 weekly sweeping within the borough) (£184k)
* School Improvement (£90k)
* Enforcement (£100k)
* Ward Priorities (£21k)
* Community Cohesion (£70k)

**CAPITAL RECEIPTS FLEXIBILITY**

1.65 In the Spending Review 2015, it was announced that to support local

authorities to deliver more efficient and sustainable services, the

government will allow local authorities to spend up to 100% of their fixed

asset receipts on the revenue costs of reform projects. This flexibility

was initially offered for the three years 2016/17 to 2018/19 but was

extended as part of the 2018/19 Finance settlement for a further 3 years

from 2019/20 to 2021/22.

1.66 The Council signified its intent to make use of this flexibility in its final

budget report to Cabinet and Council in February 2016. The final

budget for 2021/22 includes capital flexibilities of £2m.

**SCHOOLS BUDGET – Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) 2021/22**

1.67 In 2018/19 the government introduced a new National Funding

Formula (NFF) for Schools, High Needs and the Central Schools

Services Block. For the Schools Block this means LAs are funded

based on the total of the NFF for all schools, academies and free

schools in its area. However, the final formula for distribution is

determined by each Council following consultation with schools and

Schools Forums.

1.68 There are no proposed changes to the **structure** of the formula for

2021/22 however, there are several changes which impact on school

budgets.

1.69 The LA undertook a consultation with all schools, academies and free

schools in Harrow to seek views on aspects of school funding for

2021/22 in the autumn term.

1.70 The full outcome of the consultation, proposed final funding formula and

final DSG allocations is set out at Appendix 6 of this report.

**PUBLIC HEALTH FUNDING**

1.71 In 2020/21 the total public health grant to local authorities totalled

£3.279bn, with £11.150m being allocated to Harrow.  The grant is

ringfenced for use on public health functions exclusively for all ages of

the population and must be spent in accordance with grant conditions on

expenditure incurred by local authorities for the purposes of their public

health functions, as specified in Section 73B(2) of the National Health

Service Act 2006.

1.72 The Public Health commissioning intentions detailed in Appendix 7 are

based on the current (2020/21) grant allocation as Public Health

England have yet to announce national funding for 2021/22.  These

commissioning intentions reflect alignment with the Health & Wellbeing

Strategy, Borough Plan and evidence of population priorities.  At this

stage there is no assumption of additional duties arising from the

pandemic being placed on local authorities on an ongoing basis, and as

a result the Council consider that this level of funding enables the

Council’s overarching statutory duties (including equality duties) to be

maintained, taking account of the joint strategic need’s assessment.

1.73 In the event that additional duties are required by Councils, and if these

were unfunded, the commissioning intentions would need to be

reviewed considering the allocated grant envelope.

**BETTER CARE FUND (BCF)**

1.74 The framework for the Better Care Fund (BCF) derives from the

government’s mandate to the NHS which sets an objective for NHS

England to ring fence funding to form the NHS contribution to the

BCF.  The NHS Long Term Plan, published in January 2019 set out the

priorities for transformation and integration, including plans for

investment in integrated community services and next steps to develop

Integrated Care Systems.

1.75 The BCF continues to provide a mechanism for personalised, integrated

approaches to health and care that support people to remain

independent at home or to return to independence after an episode in

hospital. The continuation of the national conditions and requirements

of the BCF provides opportunities for health and care partners to build

on their plans to embed joint working and integrated care further,

including how to work collaboratively to bring together funding streams

to maximise the impact on outcomes for communities and sustaining

vital community provision.

1.76 The Better Care Fund Policy statement announced at the beginning of

December advised that the CCG contribution will again increase by

5.3% in line with the NHS Long Term Plan settlement.  Whilst the Policy

Framework and Planning Requirements which provide the detailed

guidance is expected to be published in early 2021 (usually March),

the requirements around integration and collaborative working are

expected to continue.

1.77 The 2021/22 Adults budget assumes that funding for the Protection of

Social Care through the BCF will remain at the agreed 2020/21 level of

£6.436m, although this should be expected to increase by up to 5.3% in

light of the NHS increase committed within the spending review.

1.78 The 2021/22 BCF plan will be signed off by the Health & Wellbeing

Board ahead of submission to, and assurance by, NHS England.

**RESERVES AND CONTINGENCIES**

1.79 Reserves and contingencies need to be considered in the context of

their role to protect the Council’s good financial standing and in the

context of the overall risks that the Council faces during a continuing

period of economic uncertainty. The MTFS reflects the Council’s need

to ensure an adequate level of reserves and contingencies which will

enable it to manage the risks associated with delivery of the budget

including equalities impacts and unforeseen events. At the time of

writing this report, the Council has £42.802m of reserves (detailed in

Appendix 9 – Reserves Forecast):

**Ear marked reserve of £23.352m** – ear marked to specific items of

expenditure, for example Community Infrastructure Levy, PFI and Public

Health. Within this figure there is £1.969m which relates to Adult Social

Services (£1.753m in the Carry Forward Reserve and £216k in the

Revenue Grant Reserve) which will now form the ear marked Adult

Social Care growth reserve.

**Non ear marked reserve of £9.450m** – covers those reserves which

are currently titled Business Risk, Budget Planning, Children’s Social

Care, Capacity and Transformation, MTFS Implementation and

Commercialisation. In the 2020/21 outturn report these reserves will be

transferred into one single Budget Risk Reserve with 2 sums moved into

ear marked reserves to cover:

* Equality, Diversity and Inclusion £250k
* London Living Wage £250k

**General Fund Reserve of £10m**

1.80 The Councils holds a recurrent contingency for unforeseen items in its

revenue budget of £1.248m.

1.81 The Director of Finance and Assurance will report on the adequacy of

the Council’s reserves as required in the budget setting report in

February 2021.

**LEVIES, CONTINGENCIES AND SUBSCRIPTIONS**

1.82 Appendix 4 sets out the main levies, contributions to other bodies, and

subscriptions that the Council will pay in 2021/22. These sums are set

by other bodies and are outside the Council’s control. With the

exception of the subscriptions to London Councils.

**BUDGET PROCESS 2022/23 and 2023/24**

1.83 There is a good track record of containing revenue expenditure within

annual budget. Since 2017/18 underspends have been declared at

outturn allowing very low reserve balances to be added to and the

current financial year is forecasting a budget underspend on business

as usual to support 2021/22. This report does recommend a balanced

budget for 2021/22 but a significant budget gap of £29.7m remains over

the last two years of the MTFS. Whilst the Council will continue its

lobbying for a fairer funding settlement which meets the needs of the

borough, the Council must have a strategy in place to tackle its financial

challenges and for this reason the Council must develop a fully costed

budget and implementation plan, to the value of a minimum £10m,

ready to bring to Cabinet in the summer / autumn ready to feed into the

budget setting round for 2022/23.

**COUNCIL TAX MODEL RESOLUTION**

1.84 The draft Council Tax Model Resolution is attached at Appendix 11

which proposes the Band D council tax of £1598.70 and assumes a

GLA precept of £363.66. This is still subject to confirmation of the GLA

precept which is expected to be confirmed on 25 February 2021.

The proposed GLA precept for 2021/22 of £363.66 is an increase of

9.5%. This takes the aggregate Council Tax increase to 5.8%.

**MEMBERS ALLOWANCES**

1.85 The proposed Members Allowances scheme for 2020/21 is attached at

Appendix 12. This has been prepared having regard to the report of the

Independent Panel that considered the Renumeration of Councillors in

London. Member allowances are to be frozen for 2021/22 and hence

will remain the same as 2020/21.

**ANNUAL PAY POLICY STATEMENT**

1.86 Under the Localism Act all public authorities must publish annual pay

policy statements. The statement must set out the Authorities policies

for the financial year relating to:

* Renumeration of its Chief Officers
* Renumeration of its lowest paid employees
* The relationship between the remuneration of its Chief Officers and

the remuneration of those employees who are not Chief Officers.

The proposed statement is attached at Appendix 13 and Cabinet is

requested to recommend it to Council for agreement.

**LONDON BOROUGHS GRANTS SCHEME**

1.87 Harrow’s contribution to the London Borough’s Grant Scheme was

£187k in 2020//21 and will be £186,875 in 2021/22.

**2.0 CONSULTATION**

2.1 As a matter of public law the duty to consult with regards to proposals

to vary, reduce or withdraw services will arise in 4 circumstances:

● Where there is a statutory requirement in the relevant legislative framework;

● Where the practice has been to consult or where a policy document states the council will consult then the council must comply with its own practice or policy;

● Exceptionally, where the matter is so important that there is a legitimate expectation of consultation and;

● Where consultation is required to complete an equalities impact assessment.

2.2 Regardless of whether the council has a duty to consult, if it chooses to

consult, such consultation must be carried out fairly. In general, a

consultation can only be considered as proper consultation if:

● Comments are genuinely invited at the formative stage;

● The consultation documents include sufficient reasons for the proposal to allow those being consulted to be properly informed and to give an informed response;

● There is adequate time given to the consultees to consider the proposals;

● There is a mechanism for feeding back the comments and those comments are conscientiously taken into account by the decision maker / decision making body when making a final decision;

● The degree of specificity with which, in fairness, the public authority should conduct its consultation exercise may be influenced by the identity of those whom it is consulting and;

● The consultation is clear on the reasons and extent to which alternatives and discarded options have been discarded.

2.3 The Council held a three-week consultation to provide residents with

the opportunity to comment on the draft budget proposals. The draft

budget reported was available to view on the Council’s website and

the consultation was also advertised via the MyHarrow weekly email

which is sent to 74,000 MyHarrow email accounts

2.4 The consultation closed on Thursday 28 January 2021. There were 22

respondents to the general survey. Over the three main questions,

responses disagreed with the draft budget and proposed increases in

Council Tax:

**Question 1** - Given the extent of the savings required, overall do you

agree with the Council’s proposed draft budget? (7 agree, 15 disagree).

**Question 2** - Given the Council’s funding situation, do you agree

with the Council’s proposal to increase Council Tax by 1.99%? (9

agree, 13 disagree).

**Question 3** - Given the Council’s funding situation, do you agree

with the Council’s proposal to further increase in Council Tax by the

3% adult social care precept? (8 agree, 14 disagree).

The response rate was not high enough to mean that any equalities

breakdown of the responses would be statistically significant.

2.5 Key stakeholder consultation meetings have taken place as detailed

below:

**Table 6: Key Stakeholder Consultation**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Stakeholder** | **Meeting** | **Date** |
| Unions | Corporate Joint Committee | 13/01/21 |
| Health Partners | Health & Care Executive Meeting | 05/02/21 (1) |
| Local Businesses | Harrow Business Consultative Panel | 25/01/21 |
| Overview and Scrutiny | Special meeting of the O & S Committee to review the budget | 12/01/21 |
| Unions / Employees | Employees Consultative Forum | 25/01/21 |

1. A verbal update will be provided at Cabinet if appropriate

2.6 In terms of service specific consultations, the council has a duty to

consult with residents and service users in a number of different

situations including where proposals to significantly vary, reduce or

withdraw services. Consultation is also needed in other circumstances,

for example, to identify the impact of proposals or to assist with

complying with the council’s equality duties. Where appropriate,

separate service specific consultations have already taken place or will

be taking place for the 2021/22 savings and may be subject to a

separate cabinet report.

**3.0 PERFORMANCE ISSUES**

3.1 In terms of financial performance, Cabinet are updated quarterly of

forecast spend against the agreed budget and achievement of savings

built into the budget. The same information is also presented to the

Performance and Finance Scrutiny Sub Committee regularly

throughout the year.

## 4.0 RISK MANAGEMENT IMPLICATIONS

4.1 Risks included on corporate or directorate risk register? **Yes**

4.2 Separate risk register in place? **No**

4.3 The relevant risks contained in the register are attached/summarised

below. **Yes**

4.4 The following key risks should be taken onto account when agreeing

the recommendations in this report:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Risk Description** | **Mitigations** | **RAG Status** |
| Inability to deliver the Council’s approved MTFS - over the next 3 years leading to an inability to set a balanced budget and provide core services. At Quarter 3 2020/21 this risk is rated at B2 – high likelihood and critical impact. | * Annual budget setting process * Process to challenge and quality assure MTFS * Process to ensure MTFS accurately reflects demand & legislative changes * In-year Revenue & Capital monitoring reported to CSB, Cabinet and all members regularly * In-year budget on track for delivery to minimise impact on MTFS * Watching brief maintained on the external environment and financial impact * Refreshed MTFS reported to Oct Cabinet in advance of draft 21/22 budget * Revenue budget contingency remains in in place for unforeseen items * Clean bill of health on our 18/19 Statement of Accounts and VFM audit from the External Auditors * Strategic financial planning with CSB & Cabinet |  |
| A balanced budget position is not achievable in 2022/23 or 2023/24 due to the overall reduction in revenue/funding, increases in the population, disproportionately high impact of Covid-19 on the borough, economic uncertainty and the difficulty of finding sustainable savings year on year. This leaves the Council in a grave financial position, facing very difficult budget decisions and potentially at risk of being unable to meet the demand for services, particularly Adult Social Care, Children’s Placements and Accommodation and SEN. | * Tight financial management * Maintaining the level of reserves and using them with caution * Increasing Council Tax in line with referendum limits * Full use of Adult Social Care precept * Ongoing efficiencies * The generation of income * A budget strategy for savings |  |
| In preparing the final budget for 2021/22 it is accepted that there is an element of risk until the Final Financial Settlement is received mid-February 2021. | * The revenue budget includes a contingency for unforeseen items of £1.248m |  |

## 

## 5.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 Section 31A of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 requires billing

authorities to calculate their council tax requirements in accordance with

the prescribed requirements of that section.  This requires consideration

of the authority’s estimated revenue expenditure for the year in order to

perform its functions, allowances for contingencies in accordance with

proper practices, financial reserves and amounts required to be

transferred from general fund to collection fund.

5.2 Local authorities owe a fiduciary duty to council taxpayers, which means

it must consider the prudent use of resources, including control of

expenditure, financial prudence in the short and long term, the need to

strike a fair balance between the interests of council taxpayers and

ratepayers and the community’s interest in adequate and efficient

services and the need to act in good faith in relation to compliance with

statutory duties and exercising statutory powers.

5.3 Cabinet is approving these proposals for consultation after which a

cumulative equalities impact will be drafted. These proposals will be

referred to Council so that Council can approve the budget envelope

and set the Council Tax. There will be contingencies within the budget

envelope so that decision makers have some flexibility should any

decisions have detrimental equalities impacts that cannot be mitigated.

## 6.0 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

6.1 Financial Implications are integral to this report.

**7.0 PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS**

7.1 There are no procurement implications arising from this report.

## 8.0 EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS / PUBLIC SECTOR EQUALITY DUTY

## 

8.1 Decision makers should have due regard to the public sector equality

duty in making their decisions. The equalities duties are continuing duties

they are not duties to secure a particular outcome. The equalities impact

will be revisited on each of the proposals as they are developed.

Consideration of the duties should precede the decision. It is important that

Cabinet has regard to the statutory grounds in the light of all available

material such as consultation responses. The statutory grounds of the

public sector equality duty are found at section 149 of the Equality Act

2010 and are as follows:

*A public authority must, in the exercise of its functions, have due regard*

*to the need to:*

* 1. *eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act;*
  2. *advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;*
  3. *Foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.*

*Having due regard to the need to advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it involves having due regard, in particular, to the need to:*

1. *remove or minimise disadvantages suffered by persons who share a*

*relevant protected characteristic that are connected to that*

*characteristic;*

1. *take steps to meet the needs of persons who share a relevant protected*

*characteristic that are different from the needs of persons who do not*

*share it;*

1. *Encourage persons who share a relevant protected characteristic to*

*participate in public life or in any other activity in which participation by*

*such persons is disproportionately low.*

*The steps involved in meeting the needs of disabled persons that are*

*different from the needs of persons who are not disabled include, in*

*particular, steps to take account of disabled persons’ disabilities.*

Having due regard to the need to foster good relations between persons

who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not

share it involves having due regard, in particular, to the need to:

1. *Tackle prejudice, and*
2. *Promote understanding.*

*Compliance with the duties in this section may involve treating some*

*persons more favourably than others; but that is not to be taken as*

*permitting conduct that would otherwise be prohibited by or under this Act.*

*The relevant protected characteristics are:*

* *Age*
* *Disability*
* *Gender reassignment*
* *Pregnancy and maternity*
* *Race*
* *Religion or belief*
* *Sex*
* *Sexual orientation*
* *Marriage and Civil partnership*

8.2 Relevant directorate proposals will be subject to an initial equalities impact

assessment followed by a full assessment where appropriate. These are

published with this report. There is also a cumulative equalities impact on

the budget which shows an overall minor adverse impact on age but the equalities impact indicates that mitigation is in place to minimise this impact.

**9.0 COUNCIL PRIORITIES**

9.1 The Council’s draft budget for 2021/22 has been prepared in line with

the Council’s priorities:

* Improving the environment and addressing climate change
* Tackling poverty and inequality
* Building homes and infrastructure
* Addressing health and social care inequality
* Thriving economy

## Section 3 - Statutory Officer Clearance

**Statutory Officer: Dawn Calvert**

Signed by the Chief Financial Officer

**Date: 02/02/2021**

**Statutory Officer: Jessica Farmer**

Signed on behalf of the Monitoring Officer

**Date: 02/02/2021**

**Chief Officer: Charlie Stewart**

Signed off by the Corporate Director

**Date: 02/02/2021**

**Head of Procurement: Nimesh Mehta**

Signed by the Head of Procurement

**Date: 02/02/2021**

**Head of Internal Audit: Susan Dixson**

Signed by the Head of Internal Audit

## Date: 02/02/2021

## Mandatory Checks

### Ward Councillors notified: NO, as it impacts on all Wards

### EqIA carried out: NO - Cumulative equalities impact on the budget

### EqIA cleared by: Jessica Farmer

## Section 4 - Contact Details and Background Papers

**Contact: Dawn Calvert, Director of Finance and Assurance,** tel: 0208 4209269, [dawn.calvert@harrow.gov.uk](mailto:dawn.calvert@harrow.gov.uk)

**Background Papers:**

* Equality Impact Assessments
* Reference from Performance and Finance Sub-Committee
* Reference from Overview and Scrutiny Committee
* Recommendation from Harrow Business Consultative
* Recommendation from Employees’ Consultative Forum

Call-in waived by the Chair of Overview and Scrutiny Committee

**NOT APPLICABLE (decisions reserved to Council)**